

# **EXHIBIT 3**

**FILED UNDER SEAL**

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

---

WAYMO LLC, )  
                    Plaintiff,     )  
vs.                 ) Case No.  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,     ) 3:17-cv-00939-WHA  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO         )  
TRUCKING LLC,         )  
                    Defendants. )  
                                )

---

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SCOTT BOEHMKE

San Francisco, California

Monday, April 17, 2017

Volume I

Reported by:

SUZANNE F. GUDELJ, CSR No. 5111

Job No. 2596382

PAGES 1 - 79

1        were envisioning [REDACTED]

2        [REDACTED]

3           A      It does not say that in this document.

4           Q      Okay.

5           A      This document was to demonstrate

6        positioning [REDACTED] that were the

7        lines shown in the graphs.

8           Q      What lines?

9           A      These lines (indicating).

10          Q      And after you came up with what's in  
11        Exhibit G, what did you -- what did you do with this  
12        information?

13          A      So this information was the plan B which  
14        was not pursued after going through commercial  
15        third-party efforts with [REDACTED]

16          Q      And plan A was [REDACTED]

17          A      Mm-hmm.

18          Q      Plan B was -- did it have a name other than  
19        plan B?

20          A      It turned into Fuji.

21          Q      And then what was plan C?

22          A      Plan C was the Spider.

23          Q      Okay. Was there a plan D?

24          A      Not by name.

25          Q      What does that mean, "not by name"?